

State Utah DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

MICHAEL R. STYLER Executive Director

JOHN R. BAZA Division Director

Minerals Regulatory Program

January 31, 2006

Supervisor DH **Inspection Report**

Permit number: M010027 Mine Name: Pearl Queen Perlite **Inspection Date:** January 12, **Operator Name: Basin Perlite Company** 1400 16TH St. STE 200 2006 Denver, Colorado 80202 Time: 12 noon Inspector(s): Tom Munson Other Participants: Dick Rollins, Jay Gatten, Tim Mosey Mine Status: Inactive in the process of being reclaimed Weather: sunny Enforcement

Liements of inspection	Evaluated	Comment	Emorcement
1. Permits, Revisions, Transfer, Bonds	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
2. Public Safety (shafts, adits, trash, signs, highwalls)			Ц
3. Protection of Drainages / Erosion Control			
4. Deleterious Material			
5. Roads (maintenance, surfacing, dust control, safety)			
6. Concurrent Reclamation			
7. Backfilling/Grading (trenches, pits, roads,	П	П	
highwalls, shafts, drill holes)			
8. Water Impoundments			
9. Soils		Ш	
10. Revegetation	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
11. Air Quality			
12. Other			

Purpose of Inspection:

To perform a pre-inspection regarding the reclamation of the Pearl Queen Pit and to provide advice regarding proper reclamation methods.

Directions to Site:

The site sits behind the geothermal power plant North of Milford.

Inspection Summary:

I met with the contractor Dick Rollins and talked with him about the roughening of the regarded surface, the spreading of the topsoil, and the seeding of the site. The steeper areas I felt it would be necessary to use a trackhoe to moonscape the site and I also recommended that he use a trackhoe on the whole site. As it turned out he used a dozer to roughen portions of the site and a cat with rippers on the remainder. After we discussed these common reclamation methods, we also discussed reclamation of the gully to the north. It was agreed that since the gully was never developed to the extent that was intended, that regrading it and seeding it the way they intended which was to roughen as far down as the trackhoe would reach was probably marginally acceptable to me. They felt it would work so the discussion was left at that.

Inspection Date: June 14, 2005; Report Date: June 23, 2005

Page 2 of 2 S/003/048

Conclusions and Recommendations:

The recommendation is to follow the onsite recommendations of roughening and preparing the site prior to seeding .

Inspector's Signature _

Date:

TM:jb

cc:

Operator

Sitla, John Blake